PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	1	1	MAY	2005
·WIPO				PCT

From the	•
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	RITY

HEOD	1 1	MAI	2003
WIPO			PCT

To:		
Berggren OYAB		
P.O.Box 16 (Jaakonkatu	3	a)
FI-00101 HELSINKI		
FINLAND		

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

•	:				
* *		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0 4 -05- 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
BP110027	•				
International application No. PCT/FI2004/000803	International filing date 28-12-2004	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29-12-2003	 -	
International Patent Classification (IPC G06F17/60, H04L9/32	C) or both national classific	cation and IPC			
Applicant OY VEIKKAUS AB ET Al					
•					

1	This	oninian contair	
1.	11пэ /		ns indications relating to the following items:
	X	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
		Box No. II	Priority
		Вох №. Ш	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
		Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
		_	Certain documents cited
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
		Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
2.	FUR:	THER ACTIO)N
	Autho writter	ority other than to on opinions of the	mational preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the inary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that his International Searching Authority will not be so considered.
	If this IPEA of For	opinion is, as p a written reply rm PCT/ISA/220	provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. see Form PCT/ISA/220.
3.	For fu	rther details, se	ee notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Authorized officer Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Tomas Erlandsson /ITW Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88 Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000803

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion		
1.	WILCH IT	t was filed, unless otherwise indicated un		
	_	This opinion has been established on the which is the language of a transland 23.1(b)).	e basis of a translation from the original language into the following language ranslation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12,	e, .3
2.	With reg	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino aci invention, this opinion has been establish	cid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the shed on the basis of:	
		of material a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listin	ng	
	b. forma	at of material		
		in written format	•	
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing	·	
		contained in the international applica		
	<u></u>	7	application in computer readable form.	
	!	furnished subsequently to this Author	only for the purposes of search.	
3.		nied or furnished, the required statement	one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has beents that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	een O
			· ·	
4.	Addition	nal comments:		ا.
		· •		,
				,
			•	
:				
		•		
		•		
•				
		•	•	
		•		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000803

Box No. V	Reasoned statement applicability; citation	under Rule 4 is and explan	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industations supporting such statement	trial
1. Statemen				
Novei	ty (N)	Claims	2-13, 17-30, 32-33, 35-37	YES
		Claims	1,14-16, 31, 34, 38	МО
Invent	ive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-38	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-38	YES	
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The claimed invention relates to real time betting in a communications system. In such systems there is a risk that the time-stamp of a bet is tampered with in such way that a bet is made later than it appears to have been made. The problem is solved by repeatedly sending time-stamps from a central location to distributed devices and to compare the central time with the time in the distributed devices.

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: Hämäläinen P et al "Offline Architecture for Real-Time Betting"

D2: WO 0227674 A1

D3: US 2003023853 A1

D4: US 5871398 A

D5: WO 2004036396 A1

D1, as indicated by its title, relates to real time betting. In particular there is means for taking care of tempering with time-stamps (section 3.1).

D2 discloses a prior art, cited in the current application, betting system without measures against time tampering.

D3 shows a time validation system, that is, a system taking care of tampering with time-stamps, intended for pay-TV (side 2, lines 29-53). It is also indicated that it should be obvious to use this method for other applications (page 3, lines 36-43).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000803

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

D4 and D5 are other prior art betting systems.

The invention according to independent claims 1,14-16, 31, 34 and 38 is not novel with respect to D1.

The further details added in the dependent claims may not all be explicit in D1, but it is not obvious that any of these details gives a surprising contribution to the solution of any inventive problem. Thus, the invention according to these claims is not considered to involve an inventive step.

The invention according to claims 1,14-16, 31, 34 and 38 is not novel. The invention according to claims 2-13, 17-30, 32-33 and 35-37 is not considered to involve an inventive step. The invention according to claims 1-38 is industrially applicable.